Stud Tribes Tribals, 18(1-2): 1-11 (2020) DOI: 10.31901/24566799.2020/18.1-2.418

Socio-ecological and Religious Perspective of a Sacred Grove in the Traditional Way of In Situ Plant Conservation

Uday Kumar Sen* and Ram Kumar Bhakat

Ecology and Taxonomy Laboratory, Department of Botany & Forestry, Vidyasagar University, Midnapore 721 102, West Bengal, India Mobile: 09002524806, E-mail: uudaysen@gmail.com

KEYWORDS Biodiversity. Conservation. Ethnobotany. Kalua Sarnd Than. Sacred Grove. West Bengal

ABSTRACT Sacred groves are socio-culturally protected patches of plants mainly maintained by tribal communities. These groves are generally considered as abodes of gods, goddesses, spirits or supernatural objects. They are worshipped, managed and protected by mainly tribal communities with religious zeal. Such groves serve as less disturbed benchmarks for vegetation. Taboos and societal prohibitions defend the sacred groves from destruction as a result of human activity. From sacred groves, several relics, endemic and endangered species have been reported. Based on this premise, this paper highlights the conservation and cultural values of a sacred grove of Jhargram District in West Bengal. The study reveals that in addition to conserving 150 species of angiosperms, the grove provides some direct and indirect socio-economic benefits. Therefore, there is an urgent need not only to protect the sacred forest but also to revive and reinvent such a traditional way of plant conservation.

INTRODUCTION

Conservation of nature and natural resources has been an integral part of cultural ethos. particularly in isolated rural and indigenous communities in many parts of the world, including India. These communities consider themselves associated with their biophysical environment in a network of spiritual connection (Taylor and Lennon 2011). These rural communities believe specific plants as their ancestors and protect them. In India, worship of nature dates back to the pre-Vedic period and is based on the principle that all-natural creations must be preserved. One of the important traditions of nature reverence is to conserve those patches of forest that have been dedicated to a god or goddess or ancestral spirits as sacred groves (Singh et al. 2017).

Sacred groves are mostly associated deities with giant trees and are also culturally important. They express the spiritual and ecological ethos of indigenous peasant communities. Within these patches different cultural and religious festivals are often arranged by local people. As a way of conservation of nature, sacred groves have proven to be a suitable method for thousands of years (Kent 2013). Although they may

Some studies on traditional conservation of plants in sacred groves have been reported recently. Role of some sacred grove in the conservation of plants was carried out in the sacred groves of Jhargram district under West Bengal (Sen 2018a,b). The present investigation was carried out with the following aims:

- To list the floristic composition of sacred groves in Jhargram district with detailed their ethnic uses.
- 2. To provide a method of identification and communication.

cover a minuscule proportion of the country's total area, it is estimated that there are between 100,000 and 150,000 such groves (Malhotra 1998). Of nearly 600,000 villages in the Indian countryside, sacred groves form an integral part of the countryside. This informal network of nature reserves plays an important role in maintaining plant diversity (Bhagwat and Rutte 2006; Bhakat et al. 2010). Results of a comparison between sacred groves and formal reserves show that sacred groves shelter a high diversity of medicinal plants and regenerate plants more vigorously than formal reserves (Boraiah et al. 2003). Sacred groves also have a higher diversity of plant species diversity than do formal reserves (Jamir and Pandey 2003; Ramanujam and Cyril 2003). With ever-increasing pressure on the Indian countryside, sacred groves have become patches of semi-natural forest in an otherwise cultivated landscape.

^{*}Address for correspondence: Uday Kumar Sen

3. To uncover the district's rare, endangered, endemic and threatened plants.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Site

The study was conducted in a forested sacred grove called Kalua Sarnd Than (KST) on the outer edge of a tribal-dominated Nimainagar village under the block of Nayagram (latitude 22°02'58.53" - 22°02'59.12" N and longitude 87°08'40.39" - 87°08'40.55" E, average altitude 47 metres) in West Bengal's Jhargram district. The grove is located in the southern part of West Bengal, India, about 71 km southeast from district headquarters at Jhargram town. This district's geographical position lies underneath India's middle tribal zone. On the north, it is bordered by the Purulia and Bankura districts and on the east it is bordered by River Kangsabati (from the western border of the district of West Midnapore) and partly by River Subarnarekha from the western border of the district of West Midnapore. It has shared boundaries with the southern state of Odisha, and with the Jharkhand state in the west (Figs. 1a and 1b).

The district of Jhargram covers an area of 3037.64 km² and had a population of 11,36,548 during the 2011 census. The total population was 96.52 percent rural and only 3.48 percent urban. Of the total population, 20.11 percent belonged to scheduled castes and 29.37 percent belonged to scheduled tribes. The population



Fig. 1a. People participation in KST

growth rate was 10.9 percent over the decade 2001-2011. In 2011, the literacy rate was 72.0 percent, wherein the literacy rate was 81.0 percent for males and 64.0 percent for females. The sex ratio for every 1000 males was 979 females (Anon 2011).

The strategic position of the district between the plateau of Chotanagpur and the plain of lower Bengal form a continuum, facilitating plant and human migration. Because of its unique location, a large part of the district is covered with predominant dry-deciduous forests with Shorea robusta plantations, which are naturally regenerated. Since the region falls under India's middle tribal zone, it supports a substantial population of various ethnic groups such as *Bagdi*, Kora, Munda, Sabar, Santal and others who live in the forest-fringe villages. Those people have distinct socio-cultural attachments to the plants and forests around them. They rely not only on the forest resources but also in the form of sacred groves to protect and preserve plant resources.

The Sacred Grove

The present sacred grove popularly known as *Kalua Sarnd Than* (named after its presiding god *Kalua Sarnd*) is situated in the Nayagram block of Jhargram district. The grove is spread over an area of 2.24 hectare in forestland along the outskirt of the village Nimainagar on the western side of Nayagram-Rameswaram roadways. It represents a 400- to 450-year-old relict



Fig. 1b. Deity Kalua Sarnd

forest patch consisting of evergreen, deciduous and semi-deciduous plants. After the eight days of annual *Paus Sankranti* (a ritual celebrated on the last day of the Bengali Month *Paus* or middle of January) and every Tuesday and Saturday local people, both tribal and nontribal of Nayagram and adjoining blocks, visit the grove and worship the deity. Since the grove is the deity's abode, the entire area is considered sacred, along with plants and other forms of life. Local people do not cut or disturb the grove flora because of this socio-cultural tag on the grove, thus adhering strictly to the taboos and ethics.

Field Survey and Data Collection and Analysis

The study was conducted from March 2013 to April 2018 throughout all the seasons of the year. Participants were selected based on their traditional knowledge. The interviews were carried out on traditional use(s) of plants among the villagers. The support of the village elders identified individuals who were locally regarded as knowledgeable on plant use. The selection in the questionnaire was described as systematic way and Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) method. The questionnaire was conducted in the local dialect of Bengali language. Due to the dynamic nature of ethno-botanical information. this study included plants mentioned by three or more informants to increase the reliability of the obtained data (Martin 2004).

In the systematic enumeration of the taxa were species with their family along with the vernacular name, habit, life-span, flowering and fruiting time, IUCN status (IUCN 2020) and purpose and plant part(s) used (Table 1). All the species were categorised alphabetically. After knowing the specific use(s) of the plants, informers were taken to the field to identify the plants on the basis of vernacular names. Photographs were taken of the plant habit and reproductive structures and specific plants were collected for the preparation of herbariums. Herbariums were deposited in the herbarium section, Department of Botany, Vidyasagar University. Rare or endangered plants were kept untouched. The collected plants have been compared with the literature and identified using standard keys for the specimens (Prain 1903; Pakrashi and Mukhopadhyay 2004; Paria 2005; Anon 2010).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Different Plant Taxa

In the present study, a total of 150 species belonging to 141 genera distributed over 59 families were recorded from the sacred grove. The ten well-represented families included Malvaceae (10 or 6.67%), Apocynaceae (8 or 5.33%), Asteraceae (8 or 5.33%), Poaceae (7 or 4.67%), Rubiaceae (7 or 4.67%), Euphorbiaceae (6 or 4%), Fabaceae (6 or 4%), Convolvulaceae (5 or 3.33%), Lamiaceae (5 or 3.33%) and Phyllanthaceae (5 or 3.33%) (Table 1). Acanthaceae, Amaranthaceae, Cyperaceae, Orobanchaceae and Solanaceae comprised 4 (2.67%) species each. Five families contained 3 (2%) and 9 families covered 2 (1.33%) species. Another 30 families each carried only a single species (Table 1). Similar types of distribution of dominant families has been found in sacred groves of India and were highlighted by Rajendraprasad et al. (1998), Gnanasekaran et al. (2012), Ghildiyal et al. (2016), Sen (2016) and Sen and Bhakat (2019) amongst others.

The eight dominant plant families encompassed more than 38.0 percent genera with descending numbers (≥5 species) including Malvaceae (9 or 6.38%), Apocynaceae (8 or 5.67%), Asteraceae (8 or 5.67%), Poaceae (7 or 4.96%), Euphorbiaceae (6 or 4.26%), Rubiaceae (6 or 4.26%), Fabaceae (5 or 3.55%) and Lamiaceae (5 or 3.55%) (Table 1).

The nine well-represented genera containing two species were *Bridelia*, *Cissus*, *Dioscorea*, *Diospyros*, *Evolvulus*, *Phyllanthus*, *Senna*, *Sida* and *Solanum*. Another 132 species belong to a single genus respectively (Table 1).

Species Diversity in Different Growth Form

The present floristic study of the sacred grove showed that it harboured a total of 150 plant species [123 dicots (82%) and 27 monocots (18%)] belonging to genera 141 [115 dicots (81.56%) and 26 monocots (18.44%)] of 59 families [47 dicots (80%) and 12 monocots (20%)].

Table 1: List of ethnomedicinal plants in KST sacred grove

	Purpose and plant part(s) used	E:Fr;M:Se I:Se;M:Se;Or:Se; Sa:Se D:W;M:W M:W D:Fr;E:Fr,Le;W:Fr, Le;Sa:Fr,Le;W M:W M:Le;Ro E:Fr;M:Fr,Le Rb,Sb,Se;Ti:St M:W M:Sb M:Rh M:W M:W M:C,Ro,W M:W M:Le;Ro,W M:W M:Le;Ro,W M:W M:U,Se M:W M:W M:U,Se M:W M:W M:U,Se M:W M:W M:U,Se M:U,Se;M:W M:W M:U,Se M:U,Se;M:W M:U,Se M:	
	IUCN Red list status	ZZZZZ Z ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ	
	Fl. & Fr. Time	MarSep. AugMar. OctDec. AugOct. May-Jul. JulNov. NovMar. MarJul. OctDec. JulOct. SepJan. MarSep. AugJan. MarNov. AugDec. JulReb. AugDec. JulSep. JanOct. OctJan. MarDec. JulSep. JanOct. OctJan.	
	Life- span		
	Habit	SOHHE H HE HOH HHHEOSHOOSE HHEELESH OO	
	Vernacular name	Gumbo Gumbo Kunch Apang Bankuri Bel Nunbora Dochunti Ankar Kallanjan Kalmegh Gopali Anantalata Banjam Isarmul Satamuli Bankapash Bankapash Kukshima Punarnaba Shimul Budbhota Dhankuni Rohini Rohini	
)	Family	Malvaceae Fabaceae Amaranthaceae Orobanchaceae Rutaceae Violaceae Asteraceae Cornaceae Sapindaceae Zingiberaceae Amaranthaceae Polygonaceae Polygonaceae Polygonaceae Aristolochiaceae Asteraceae Asteraceae Asteraceae Polygonaceae Posceae Asteraceae Asteraceae Malvaceae Phyllanthaceae Gentianaceae Capparaceae	
	Name of the species	1. Abelmoschus crinitus Wall. 2. Abrus precatorius L. 3. Achyamthes bidentata Blume 4. Aeginetia indica L. 5. Aegle marmelos (L.) Corrêa 6. Afrohybanthus enneaspermus (L.) Flicker 7. Ageratum conyzoides L. 8. Alangium salvijfolium (L.) Wangerin 9. Alectra sessiliflora (Vahl) Kuntze 10. Allophylus serratus (Roxb.) Kurz 11. Apinia calcarata (Andrews) Roscoe 12. Amaranthus spinosus L. 13. Andrographis echioides (L.) Nees 14. Anisomeles indica (L.) Kuntze 15. Annona squamosa L. 16. Anigonon leptopus Hook. & Arn. 17. Ardista solanacea Rexb. 18. Aristida setacea Retz. 19. Aristolochia indica L. 20. Asparagus racemosus Willd. 21. Azanza lampas (Cav.) Alef. 22. Bambusa bambos (L.) Voss 23. Blumea axillaris (Lam.) DC. 24. Boerhavia erecta L. 25. Bombax ceiba L. 26. Bridelia renusa (L.) A. Juss. 27. Bridelia tomentosa Blume 28. Buddelia assiatica Lour. 29. Canscora diffusa (Vahl) 30. Capparis zeylanica L. 31. Cardiospermum halicacabum L.	

:
_
ţ
on
Ú
∺
_ -a
ğ
2
r .

Name of the species	Family	Vernacular name	Habit	Life- span	Fl. & Fr. Time	IUCN Red list	Purpose and plant part(s) used
2. Casearia graveolens Dalzell	Salicaceae	Kokra	F- F	Ф	JanMar.	NE	M:W
3. Cassia fistula L.	Fabaceae	Bandarlathı	_	٦,	rebDec.	Z Z	M:Fr,Se;Or:F1; Ta:Fr,Sb,Se;Sa:W
4. Cayratia pedata (Lam.) Gagnep.	Vitaceae	Goyalelata Dudhali	CH	Ь	AugFeb.	DA N	M:Le,Ro D:W:M:W
6. Cereus hexagonus (L.) Mill.	Cactaceae	Manasa	- ×	ΥД	Jun-Jul	27	M:St
	Poaceae	Chamarghas	Н	Ь	AugNov.	NE	Fo:Le;M:W
8. Chlorophytum arundinaceum Baker 9. Chromolaena odorata (L.)	Asparagaceae Asteraceae	Musli Bhabri	ΗН	д ∢	May-Oct.	N N E	M:Ro I:Le.St:M:W
0. Chrozophora rottleri (Geiseler)	Euphorbiaceae	Survavarti	н	: ∢	JulFeb.	N E	R.M.King & H.Rob. M.Le.Ro
Spreng.			:	:			
I. Cissampelos pareira L.	Menispermaceae	Akanadi	<u>ن</u>	d s	JulJan.	NE NE	M:Le,Ro
	Rubiaceae	Harjora	၁	d (FebApr.	Y Z	M:Le,St
3. Cissus repanda (Wight & Arn.) Vahl	Kubiaceae	Harjora	ن ا د	ı. <	FebApr.	Z Z	M:Le,St
4. Cleome Viscosa L.	Leomaceae	Hurnura	Ľυ	∢ ∩	SepApr.	ZZ	M:Le,Ko,Se M:I c B c
5. Cierodenarum injorumatum L. 6. Cocculus hirentus (1.) W Theoh	Menispermaceae	Gnentu Husor	م ر	ן ם	Ang -Nov	Z Z H	M.I.e.Ro M.I.e.Ro:Sa:W
	Combretaceae	Atano	ט כ	٦ ۵	Nov-May	ZZ	D-Rh Sh-M-FI
		0)	,		1	Rb, Sb; Ta:Fr; Sb;
:	:		;	í	į	ļ	Ti:St
S. Croton bonplandianus Baill.	Euphorbiaceae	Churchuri	Ξ;	J. 6	AII	Z Z	M:La,Le
9. Curculigo capitulata (Lour.) Kuntze	Hypoxidaceae	Ialmuli Swarnalata	ΞC	J 0	JunSep.	Z Z II II	M:Bu M:W
U. Cuscula reflexa NOAU.	Description	Swar natata	ן כ	٦, ٦	A 11	1 2	IM. W E.J. M.A.D. W. Ca.I. a.W.
1. Cynodon dactyton (L.) Pers.	Poaceae	Durbagnas		7 O	All Iul Sen	N I	FO:Le;M:Kh, w.; Sa:Le, w
 Cyperus cotymoosus rocus. Cyperus mindorensis (Stend) Huxoh 	Cyperaceae	ivager muma	Ι ΙΙ	, d	Nov-Mar	יי	FOLC, M. W
4. Dendrophthoe falcata (L.f.) Ettingsh.	Loranthaceae	Banda	· v	. <	NovMar.	NE	M:W
5. Dentella repens (L.) J. R. Forst & GForst	Rubiaceae	Bhuipat	Н	V	FebMay	Γ C	M:Le,W
6. Dioscorea pentaphylla L.	Dioscoreaceae	Suaralu	C	Ь	Sep Feb.	NE	E:Rh;M:Rh
7. Dioscorea pubera Blume	Dioscoreaceae	Kukur alu	C	Ь	OctJan.	NE	E:Rh;M:Rh
8. Diospyros malabarica (Desr.) Kostel.	Ebenaceae	Makarkend	Т	Ь	MarJun.	NE	E:Fr,Le;Fo:Le;M:Fr,Sb;Oi:Se; Ta:Sb:Ti:St
9. Diospyros melanoxylon Roxb.	Ebenaceae	Kendu	Г	Ь	AprJul.	NE	E:Fr,Le,Fo:Le;M:Fr,Sb;Oi:Se; Ta:Sb;Ti:St
0. Diplocyclos palmatus (L.) C.Jeffrey	Cucurbitaceae	Bonkakra	ر ا	Ь	AugOct.	NE	M:Fr
 Dysphania ambrosioides (L.) Mosyakin & Clemants 	Amaranthaceae	Betho	Ξ	V	AprJul.	Z	E:St;M:W

Table 1: Contd....

Name of the species	Family	Vernacular name	Habit	Life- span	Fl. & Fr. Time	IUCN Red list status	Purpose and plant part(s) used
62. Elaeodendron glaucum (Rottb.) Pers.	Celastraceae	Murmaria	Т	Ы	FebAug.	NE	M:Fr,Rb,Se; Ti:St
63. Elephantopus scaber L.	Asteraceae	Hatikan	шп	∀ 0	SepJan.	NZ	M:FI,Le,Ro
64. Eragrosus chiaris (E.) N.D 65. Euphorbia thymifolia L.	Fuphorbiaceae	Borokeruie	Н	Ь	OCLJani All	N N	T.U. L.C., IVI. W M: W
66. Euploca strigosa (Willd.) Diane & Hiloer	Boraginaceae	Hatisur	Н	Ą	DecFeb.	NE	M:W
67. Evolvulus alsinoides (L.) L.	Convolvulaceae	Shankhapushpi H	i H	A	JulFeb.	NE	M:W;Sa:W
	Convolvulaceae	Ankra	Н	Α	All :	NE	M:W
69. Ficus benghalensis L.	Moraceae	Bat	<u>-</u>	<u>.</u>	MarSep.		NEE:Fr;Fi:Ro;Fo:Le; M:Fr,Ro,SbSe;Or:W;Sa:
	(:	4	((Le, W; Ti: St
/0. Fimbristylis dichotoma (L.) Vahl	Cyperaceae Saligagaa	Rainchi	Ξv	J D	AugOct.	L N N	Fo:Le;M:W
72 Globba sessiliflora Sims	Zingiheraceae	Kaloada	ם ב	д	Jul -Oct	J. I	M: W M:Bh
73. Gloriosa superba L.	Colchicaceae	Ulat-Chandal		. Д	JulSep.		M:Rh
74. Glycosmis pentaphylla (Retz.) DC.	Rutaceae	Ashsaora	S	Ь	SepFeb.	NE	M:Le,Ro;Sa:W
75. Guilandina bonduc L.	Fabaceae	Natakaranj	C	Ь	AugApr.	NE	M:Le,Ro,Se
76. Gypsophila vaccaria (L.) Sm.	Caryophyllaceae	Sabuni	Η	Ą	JanMar.	NE	M:W
77. Hibiscus rosa-sinensis L.	Malvaceae	Jaba	S	Ь	All	NE	M:Fl,Le,Ro,Sb;Sa:F
78. Holarrhena pubescens Wall. ex G.Don	Apocynaceae	Kurchi	Ε	Ь	AprFeb.	CC	M:Le,Ro,Sb,Se;Oi:Fl
79. Ichnocarpus frutescens (L.) W.T.Aiton Apocynaceae	Apocynaceae	Shyamalata	၁ (<u>ч</u> ,	SepMar.	IJ,	M:Le,Ro
80. Ipomoea mauritiana Jacq.	Convolvulaceae	Bhuikumra Chamoli	ی ر	J 0	AugDec.	Z Z II II	M:Ko M:E11 a D a: Sa:E1 W
Burm.f.) Andrews	Oleaceae	Chamen	ر	4	Decivial.	1	W.T., LC, NO, 34.T., W
82. Jatropha gossypiifolia L.	Euphorbiaceae	Lalbharenda	S	Ь	AprAug.	NE	M:La,Le,Se;Oi:Se
83. Justicia gendarussa Burm.f.	Acanthaceae	Jagat- madan	S	Ь	FebApr.	NE	M:Le
84. Knoxia sumatrensis (Retz.) DC.	Rubiaceae	;	Ηį	٧٠	AugNov.	HZ;	M:W
85. Leea rubra Blume ex Spreng.	Vitaceae	Hathikan	% :	J .	MarSep.	IJĮ Z,	M:Le,St
86. Leonotts nepetifolia (L.) K.Br.	Lamiaceae	Bhutbhairabi	Ξc	∢•	AprJul.	Z Z	M:FI,Le,Ro
8/. Macrosolen capitellatus (Wioht & Am) Danser	Loranthaceae	Bandgul	Ω	A	MarSep.	Z I	M: W
88. Malachra capitata (L.) L.	Malvaceae	Bonbhindi	Н	A	SepNov.	NE	M:FI,Le,Ro
89. Mallotus philippensis (Lam.) Müll. Arg.	Euphorbiaceae	Ghundie	Г	Ь	OctMar.	NE	M:Fr,Rb,Sb
90. Mangifera indica L.	Anacardiaceae	Aam	Т	Ь	Feb Jun.	DD	E:Fr;G:Sb;M:W
91. Melia azedarach L.	Rufaceae	Maha Neem	\vdash	Ь	Feb -Nov	N	Salle, 11.8t MifrLe ShiTi St
92. Merremia hederacea	Convolvulaceae	Koondhal	C	A	SepDec.	NE	M:Le,Ro
(Burm. 1.) Hanner 1. 93. Mikania micrantha Kunth	Asteraceae	Taralata	C	V	SepFeb.	NE	M:W

:
:
걸
Ξ
ಬ
≟
е -
Ē
್ಷಡ

ı	Name of the species	Family	Vernacular name	Habit	Life- span	Fl. & Fr. Time	IUCN Red list status	Purpose and plant part(s) used
<i>*</i>	Mimosa pudica L	Fabaceae	Laijabati	Н	Ь	JulNov	J'1	M.Le Ro
0	Morinda citrifolia I	Pubiaceae	Anch	: -	۵,	Fab May	N I	M.Fr I a Sh.E.Er.Eo.I a
	Monthua ciri ifonia E.	National	Zuch V-1	- 1	- •	Con In	1 7	M.11, LC, 30, L.11, 1 0. LC
90.		Commellnaceae	Kosnapuspi	I :	₹.	SepJan.	2	Fo:Le;M:Le
	Nelsonia canescens (Lam.) Spreng.	Acanthaceae	Chhotobasak	Η	V	MarMay	N E	M:Le
98.	Nicotiana plumbaginifolia Viv.	Solanaceae	Bantamak	Η	V	May-Sep.	NE	M:Le,Ro,Se
.66	Ocimum tenuislorum L.	Lamiaceae	Tulshi	Η	Ь	AugJan.	NE	M:Le.St.W:Sa:Le.W
100	Opuntia stricta (Haw.) Haw.	Cactaceae	Phani-mansa	S	Ь	AprAug.	ГС	M:St
	Oxalis corniculata I.	Oxalidaceae	Amrul	· =	. ∢	All	ΞZ	M·Le W
	Parthenium hysterophorus L.	Asteraceae	Gaiarohas	Ξ.	. ≺	Oct -Mar	Z	M.W.
	Phoenix acaulis Roxb	Arecaceae	Bankheiur	v.	_	Feb -Inn	Z	F.Fr.M.Fr.Ti-St
	Phyllanthus lanceolarius	Phyllanthaceae	Kechchua	S	, V	MarDec.	NE	M:Fr,Le
	(Roxb.) Müll.Arg.							
	Phyllanthus urinaria L.	Phyllanthaceae	Hazarmani	Η	A	AprSep.	NE	M:W
106.	Phyllanthus virgatus G.Forst.	Phyllanthaceae	Amla	Η	∢	AprSep.	NE	M:W
107.	Physalis angulata L.	Solanaceae	Bantepariya	S	Ą	AugDec.	NE	M:Le,Ro,Se
108.	Plumeria rubra L.	Apocynaceae	Golakchampa	Τ	Ь	OctMay	NE	M:Fl,La;Or:Fl,W;Sa:Fl
109.	Pterospermum acerifolium (L.)	Malvaceae	Muchkunda		Ь	JanAug.	NE	E:FI:M:FI,Le,
	Willd.)		Sb;Sa: W;Ti:St
110.	Pupalia lappacea (L.) Juss.	Amaranthaceae	Nagdamani	Н	Ь	SepJan.	NE	E:Fr;M:Le,Fr
III.	Rauvolfia tetraphylla L.	Apocynaceae	Gokul	S	Ь	FebDec.	NE	M:Le,Ro,W
112.	Rungia pectinata (L.) Nees	Acanthaceae	Sibjata	Η	∢	All	NE	M:Le,Ro,W
113	Schoenoplectiella roylei (Nees) Lye	Cyperaceae	•	Н	Ь	FebAug.	Γ C	Fo:Le;M:W
114.	Scindapsus officinalis (Roxb.) Schott Araceae	Araceae	Gajpipul	C	Ь		NE	M:Le,Rh;Or:W
115.	Scleromitrion diffusum	Rubiaceae	Khetpapra	Н	Α	AugFeb.	ГС	M:Le,W
911	(Willus) N.J. Wang Sourmila condifolia (Woll) G Don	Ioranthaceae	Randa	v	<	Inl. Moy	N	M:W
	-	Fahareae	Kalkasunda	o 0/	ζ ∢	Anr -Sen	Z Z	M.Sh.Ta. Fr Sh.Ti.St
		Fahaceae	Chakunda	ıΞ	₹	Sen -Dec	ZZ	I.Fr I e.M.I e P.Se
	Sociali differente (Doub ou Cm.)	Apigoogo	Dan Jonean	: =	; <	Esp. Mor.	i i	E.Co.M.Co
	Seseti utjjusum (NOXD. ex. 3111.) Setaria flavida (Betz.) Veldkemo	Apiacac	Бан эоман	= =	۵ ک	Aug-Nov] C	E.Se,M.Se Fo:I e:M:W
	Secured Justice (Netz.) Veranding	Distance	7-0	: F	- د	Mer Inc	ָרָ בְּיִבְּ	C.CL. MANTO CO. CO. W.T.T.
	Shored robusta C.F.Gaerun. Sida acudifelia I	Melwesocarpaceae	Sai	ت -	۷ <	MarJun.	LK/LC	G.Sb, M. W, Ol. Se, Sa. W, 11. St
	Sida corayona L.	Maivaceae	Dereid	2 :	۲,	AugDec.	I I	M.FI, Le, NO, Se, FI.SU, FU. Le
	Sida rhombijolia L.	Malvaceae	Swetberela	I (<u>ب</u> د	SepDec.	Z Z	M:Le, Ko, St
	Smilax zeylanica L.	Smilacaceae	Китагіка	<u>ن</u>	٦,	JunDec.	NE	M:K0
	Solanum americanum Mill.	Solanaceae	Kakmachi	Η	Ą	OctApr.	NE	M:Fr,Le,Ro,Se
	Solanum torvum Sw.	Solanaceae	Kantabegun	S	Ь	JulMar.	NE	M:Fr,Le,Ro,Se
101						* * * *		

Table 1: Contd....

Name of the species	Family	Vernacular name	Habit	Life- span	Fl. & Fr. Time	IUCN Red list status	Purpose and plant part(s) used
128. Striga angustifolia (D. Don)	Orobanchaceae	Pivlaaga	Н	A	JulNov.	NE	M:W
129. Strychnos nux-vomica L.	Loganiaceae	Kuchila	Τ	Ь	MarJan.	NE	I:Fr,Se;M:Fr,Le,
130. Tabernaemontana divaricata	Apocynaceae	Tagar	S	Ь	MarDec.	NE	M:Ro,St, Or: Fl,W; Sa:Fl
131. Tacca leontopetaloides (L.) Kuntze 132. Telosma pallida (Roxb.) Craib	Dioscoreaceae Apocynaceae	Chikri Gharilata	СН	д д	AugNov. SepFeb.	LC NE	E:Rh;M:Rh M:Le.St
133. Terminalia chebula Retz.	Cômbretaceae	Haritaki	Τ	Ь	AprMar.	NE	Fo:Le;M:Fr,Le,Sb;
134. Tiliacora racemosa Colebr.	Menispermaceae	Teliakora	C	Ь	NovMay	NE	M:Le,Ro
135. Iragia involucrata L. 136. Trichosanthes cucumerina L.	Euphorbiaceae Cucurbitaceae	Bichhuti Banpatol	၁ပ	ച പ	MarJan. AugDec.	ZZ	M:Fr,Le,Ro E:Fr:M:Fr
137. Tridax procumbens L.	Asteraceae	Bhringaraj	Н	Α	All	NE	M:Lé,Ro
138. Trigastrotheca pentaphylla	Molluginaceae	Khet papra	Н	V	AugNov.	ZE	M:W
139. Triumfetta rhomboidea Jacq.	Malvaceae	Lalberela	Н	∢.	SepJan.	NE	Fi:Sb;M:Fl,Le,Ro,St
140. Urena lobata L. 141. Urochloa reptans (L.) Stanf	Malvaceae Poaceae	Banochra	ΩΞ	∢ ∢	SepDec.	J N	F1:S6;M:F1,Le,K0,St F0:Le:M:W
142. Vallaris solanacea (Roth) Kuntze	Apocynaceae	Haparmali	C	Ь	AprJan.	NE	M:La,Le,Ro
143. Vanda tessellata (Roxb.)	Orchidaceae	Rasna	Н	Ь	AprJul.	Γ C	M:W;Or:Fl,W
144. Ventilago denticulata Willd.	Rhamnaceae	Raktapita	C	Ь	SepJun.	NE	D:Rb,Sb;M:Fr, Rb,Sb;Ti:St
145. Viscum cruciatum Sieber ex Boiss.	Santalaceae	Banda	S	Ь	JanJun.	NE	I: W;M:W
146. Vitex negundo L.	Lamiaceae	Nisinda	Т	Ь	MarJun.	NE	I.Le,St;M:Fl,Fr,Le,Rb, Ro Sh Se
147. Wattakaka volubilis (L.f.) Stapf	Apocynaceae	Jukti	C	Ь	JunSep.	NE	M:Fl,Fr,La,Le,Ro
148. Kanthium strumarium L.	Asteraceae	Okra	Η:	٧	SepApr.	Z,	M:Fr,Le,Ro,Se
	Orchidaceae	Shwethuli	I :	ع. د	DecMar.	Z I	M:Fl,Le;Or:Fl,W
130. Zingiber capitatum Noxo.	Ziligiberaceae	Jangu Ada	-	٠,	JulAug.	NE	M.KII

Abbreviation:

Habi:: C-Climber, H-Herb, S-Shrub, T-Tree

Life-Span: A- Annual, P-Perennial

Flowering and Fruiting time: Jan.-January, Feb.-February, Mar.-March, Apr.-April, Jun.-June, Jul.-July, Aug.-August, Sep.-September, Oct.-October, Nov.
November, Dec.-December, All- All season

NOVEMBER, Status: DD-Data Deficient, LC- Least Concern, LR/LC-Lower Risk/ Least Concerned, NE -Not Evaluated, VU-Vulnerable

Purpose and Plant part(s) used: Bu- Bulb, D- Dye, E- Edible, Fi- Fiber, Fi- Flower, Fo- Fodder, Fr- Fruit, G-Cum, I-Insecticidal, La- Latex, Le- Leaf, M- Medicinal, Oi- Oil, Or- Ornamental, Rb- Root bark, Rh- Rhizome, Ro- Root, Sa- Sacred, Sb- Stem bark, Se-Seed, St- Stem, Ta- Tannin, Ti- Timber, Tu- Tuber, W- Whole plant

Among these, 67 (44.67%) of the reported species were herbs. Other reported species included 26 shrubs (17.33%), 24 trees (16%) and 22 climbers (22%). Amongst the total 123 dicots (82%) and 27 monocots (18%), herbs, shrubs, trees and climbers represented 48, 25, 23, 27 and 19, 1, 1, 6 species, respectively, representing 32.0 percent, 16.67 percent, 15.33 percent, 18.0 percent and 12.67 percent, 0.67 percent and 4.0 percent of the total species (Table 1).

Major six herbaceous families (>4 species) were Asteraceae (7 or 10.45%), Poaceae (6 or 8.96%), Amaranthaceae (4 or 5.97%), Cyperaceae (4 or 5.97%), Orobanchaceae (4 or 5.97%) and Rubiaceae (4 or 5.97%) held above 43.0 percent of the total herb population. The five major lesswoody shrub families (≥2 species) were Malvaceae (5 or 19.23%), Loranthaceae (3 or 11.54%), Apocynaceae (2 or 7.69%), Cactaceae (2 or 7.69%) and Solanaceae (2 or 7.69%) represented above 53.0 percent of the total shrubs population. Apocynaceae, Ebenaceae, Malvaceae, Phyllanthaceae and Rutaceae were the four diversified families (\ge 2 species, 8.33\%), which contained above 33.0 percent of the total tree population. Another 14 families contained single-tree species. The eight most speciose families (≥ 2 species) in descending manner included Apocynaceae (4 or 12.12%), Convolvulaceae (3 or 9.09%), Menispermaceae (3 or 9.09%), Cucurbitaceae (2 or 6.06%), Dioscoreaceae (2 or 6.06%), Fabaceae (2 or 6.06%), Rubiaceae (2 or 6.06%) and Sapindaceae (2 or 6.06%) and showed above 60.0 of the total liana population (Table 1).

Life-span

In the sacred grove, 58 (38.66%) annual plants would go through their life cycle in one growing season. There were 92 (61.34%) perennial plants that could survive most unfavourable conditions and would stay alive for more than two years (Table 1).

IUCN Categories

Among these 150 plants, 128 plants have not been evaluated until now. There were 19 Least Concerned (LC), 1 Vulnerable (VU), 1 Lower Risk or Least Concerned (LR/LC) and 1 Data Defi-

cient (DD) species. *Cayratia pedata* was the vulnerable liana species according to the IUCN (2020) (Table 1).

The above phyto-sociological analysis with ecological information about IUCN Red Listed plants reveals that the plants were still present and regenerate in the sacred grove but locally vanishing in nearby forests. This research will highlight the status and distribution of the species in the study area, the ecological characteristics required for their survival and the threats to some of the species (IUCN 2020). Various factors caused the increase in numbers of threatened species in the area. Overgrazing was a major cause, which led to the destruction of seedlings. In contrast, restricted population and low natural reproduction were the major factors for the vulnerability of *Cayratia pedata*.

Plant Part(s) Used for NTFPs and Ethnomedicine

This grove supports 19 timber-yielding plant species and a good number of NTFPs (Non-Timber Forest Products), of which, 19 species bear edible parts, 5 species produce fibre, 19 species have fodder value, 2 species yield gum, 5 species produce dye, 7 species have insecticidal properties, 150 species have medicinal properties, 6 species yield oil, 9 species have ornamental value, 8 species are tannin-yielding and 19 species have sacred value (Table 1).

A total of 150 ethnomedicinally important plants were collected and identified belonging to 59 families and 141 genera, of which 123 are dicots and the remaining 27 species are monocots. In the grove, herbs are represented by 67 species and are found to be a more prominent growth-form for treatment of various ailments followed by shrubs (26 species), climbers (33 species) and trees (24 species). The local people of the groves recognised the plant species by their different vernacular names and suggested the medicinal part(s) of those plant(s). Of the various plant part(s) used for the treatment of ailments, the leaves were being used maximum represented by 68.0 percent, followed by whole plant (52%), root (32.67%), fruit (32%), stem (25.33%), seed (23.33%), stem bark (20.67%), flower (16%), rhizome (8%), ornamental (6.67%), root bark (6%), latex (4%), tuber (2%) and bulb

(0.67%), respectively (Table 1). Most of the ethnomedicinal plants are common among the different sacred groves and the way of using the medicinal plants is quite similar among the different local communities of the sacred groves with several taboos (Bhakat and Sen 2008). All over the world, tribal communities, utilised leaves for the preparation of herbal medicine (Prabhu et al. 2014; Yabesh et al. 2014). The reason the leaves were mostly used is that they are more easily collected than underground parts, flowers and fruits and that leaves are active in photosynthesis and metabolite production from a scientific point of view (Ghorbani 2005; Giday et al. 2009).

Common Taboos and Beliefs Associated with the Grove

Those taboos need to be followed by all, according to villagers. These comprise the following:

- 1. The felling of the tree and uprooting any plant is strictly prohibited.
- 2. Footwear is to be removed at the entrance of the path leading to the deity.
- 3. Women are not allowed to enter the sacred groves during a specific period.
- 4. Collection of plant or plant part(s) has been strictly prohibited.
- 5. One day before worshipping, the devotees stop eating onion, garlic, egg and meat.
- 6. Anything that is made of leather is prohibited in the grove.
- 7. It is believed that any disturbance to the sacred grove causes failure of agricultural crop and disease to livestock.

Conservation Status

The KST sacred grove, although fairly well protected, is facing minor threats due to biotic pressure in the form of grazing, exotic weed invasion and erosion of ethical attachments with plants of the present generation. Therefore, there is a need to convince the local people about the value of sacred groves.

CONCLUSION

The religious beliefs and myths are generally attributed to the deities to preserve a large

number of forest patches. The *in situ* conservation practice of tribal communities is the traditional ecological heritage, which conserves the population of various species in its habitat, known as the best method of conservation. Conserving and promoting the heritage is of vital importance to protect many endemic, endangered and keystone species for future generations. There is a need to launch a sacred grove conservation programme under the Protected Area Network through the tribal-dominated institution. People's attitudes have changed nowadays and this along with the mistrust of traditional beliefs has caused the degradation of sacred groves throughout India. For improving their degraded condition, it is suggested that the local people living inside and around the sacred groves need to be taken into confidence so that long-term conservation goals can be achieved.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The researchers have the following recommendations based on primary and secondary data:

- 1. There is a need for a separate law to protect sacred groves throughout the country.
- 2. To care for sacred groves only, a separate branch must be started within the forest department.
- A joint sacred grove management program, involving the forest department and key stakeholders in the sacred groves, should be developed. This will not only motivate the preservation of sacred groves but forests in general.
- Educational institutions should be entrenched in the conservation of sacred groves.
- 5. Reforestation of sacred groves is urgently needed in several areas. There is a special need to initiate tree planting at a rapid pace in places where sacred groves are endangered or depleting.
- 6. Education programs, particularly for the youth, should be organised for the people. Such programs can assist in understanding the value of sacred groves. The youth should start engaging in the recycling and education projects themselves.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are thankful to the local communities for sharing their knowledge of plants and their help during the field survey.

REFERENCES

- Anon 2010. Medicinal Plant Resources of South West Bengal. Volume 2. Kolkata: Research Wing, Directorate of Forests, Government of West Bengal.
- Anon 2011. District Human Development Report, Paschim Medinipur. Kolkata: Development and Planning Department, Government of West Bengal.
- Bhagwat SA, Rutte C 2006. Sacred groves: potential for biodiversity management. Front Ecol Environ, 4(10): 519-524.
- Bhakat RK, Koley S, Kundu NS, Sen UK 2010. Culture and conservation: Lessons from a sacred grove. In: Ajit Kumar Danda, Indu Talwar (Eds.): Environmental Issues and Other Essays. Jhargram, Delhi: INCAA, IGNCA, pp. 58-63.
 Bhakat RK, Sen UK 2008. Ethnomedicinal plant con-
- Bhakat RK, Sen UK 2008. Ethnomedicinal plant conservation through sacred groves. *Tribes and Tribals*, Spl. Vol. (2): 55-58.
- Boraiah KT, Vasudeva R, Bhagwat SA, Kushalappa CG 2003. Do informally managed sacred groves have higher richness and regeneration of medicinal plants than state-managed reserve forests? *Cur Sci*, 84(6): 804-808.
- Ghildiyal JC, Barthwal N, Khantwal A 2016. Life-forms and biological spectrum of Deeva Ka Daanda a sacred grove in Garhwal Himalaya. *Indian For*, 142: 167-178.
- Ghorbani A 2005. Studies on pharmaceutical ethnobotany in the region of Turkmen Sahra, north of Iran: (Part 1): General results. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 102: 58-68.
- Giday M, Asfaw Z, Woldu Z 2009. Medicinal plants of the Meinit ethnic group of Ethiopia: An ethnobotanical study. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 124(3): 513-521.
- Gnanasekaran G, Nehru P, Narasimhan D 2012. Angiosperms of Sendirakillai Sacred Grove (SSG), Cuddalore district, Tamil Nadu, India. *Check List*, 8(1): 113-129.
- IUCN 2020. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2019-3. From https://www.iucnredlist.org (Retrieved on 22 February 2020).
- Jamir SA, Pandey HN 2003. Vascular plant diversity in the sacred groves of Jaintia Hills in northeast India. *Biodiversity Conserv*, 12(7): 1497-1510.
- Kent EF 2013. Sacred Groves and Local Gods: Religion and Environmentalism in South India. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Malhotra KC 1998. Anthropological dimensions of sacred groves in India: An overview. In: Palayanoor Sivaswamy Ramakrishnan, KG Saxena, UM Chan-

- drashekara (Eds.): Conserving the Sacred for Biodiversity Management. New Delhi: Oxford and IBH Publ. Co. Pvt. Ltd., pp. 423-438.
- Martin GJ 2004. Ethnobotany: A Methods Manual. UK: Taylor and Francis.
- Pakrashi SC, Mukhopadhya S (Eds.) 2004. Medicinal and aromatic plants of red laterite region of West Bengal (Bankura, Medinipore and Purulia). Kolkata: West Bengal Academy of Science and Technology.
- Paria N (Ed.) 2005. Medicinal Plant Resources of South West Bengal. Volume 1. Research Wing, Directorate of Forests in collaboration with Department of Environment. Kolkata: Government of West Bengal.
- Prabhu S, Vijayakumar S, Yabesh JM, Ravichandran K, Sakthivel B 2014. Documentation and quantitative analysis of the local knowledge on medicinal plants in Kalrayan hills of Villupuram district, Tamil Nadu, India. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 157: 7-20.
- Prain D 1903. Bengal Plants. Volume 1 and 2. Calcutta: Botanical Survey of India.
- Rajendraprasad M, Krishnan PN, Pushpangadan P 1998.
 The life form spectrum of sacred grove and a functional tool to analyse the vegetation. *Trop Ecol*, 39(2): 211-217
- Ramanujam MP, Cyril KPK 2003. Woody species diversity of four sacred groves in the Pondicherry region of south India. *Biodiversity Conserv*, 12(2): 289-299.
- Sen Uday Kumar 2016. Botanical and Socio-cultural Studies on Some Sacred Groves of West Midnapore District, West Bengal. PhD Thesis, Unpublished. West Midnapore: Vidyasagar University, India.
- Sen UK 2018a. Assessing the social, ecological and economic impact on conservation activities within human-modified landscapes: A case study in Jhargram District of West Bengal, India. *IJCS*, 9(2): 319-336
- Sen UK 2018b. Assessment of human impact on a sacred grove in Jhargram District, West Bengal, India. *Sci Cult*, 84(11-12): 420-426.
- Sen UK, Bhakat RK 2019. Assessment of the floristic composition, biological spectrum, leaf size spectrum and traditional conservation management of a sacred grove in West Midnapore District, West Bengal, India. *Indian For*, 145(2): 156-171.
- Singh S, Youssouf M, Malik ZA, Bussmann RW 2017. Sacred groves: Myths, beliefs and biodiversity conservation - a case study from Western Himalaya, India. *Int J Ecol*, Article ID 3828609: 12.
- Taylor K, Lennon J 2011. Cultural landscapes: A bridge between culture and nature? *Int J Herit Stud*, 17(6): 537-554.
- Yabesh JM, Prabhu S, Vijayakumar S 2014. An ethnobotanical study of medicinal plants used by traditional healers in silent valley of Kerala, India. J Ethnopharmacol, 154: 774-789.

Paper received for publication in March, 2019 Paper accepted for publication in February, 2020